UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

ANITA HUNTER, et al.

Case No.:

09-cv-02079 JW

Plaintiffs,

Assigned to Hon. James Ware

vs.

Assigned to Hon. James ware

CITIBANK, N.A., et al.

STIPULATION RE: DEPOSITION PROTOCOL;

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Defendants

WHEREAS, the parties have taken a limited number of depositions in this action and anticipate taking numerous additional depositions;

WHEREAS, the parties have submitted a Stipulation and proposed Scheduling Order to allow time to, among other things, take the numerous additional depositions and the parties have jointly agreed upon a deposition protocol to allow those depositions to proceed efficiently;

NOW THEREFORE, based on the foregoing, the below identified parties HEREBY AGREE and STIPULATE THAT the parties shall abide by the following Deposition Protocol, that all pending deposition notices or subpoenas served prior to this Protocol's entry by the Court shall be governed by this Protocol in all respects, and that all depositions taken in the above-captioned matter shall be governed by this Deposition Protocol:

I. Scheduling of Depositions, Court Reporters, and Exhibits

Nothing in this Order shall preclude any party from seeking an appropriate Protective Order in connection with any deposition. Absent stipulation of the parties or further Order of the Court, depositions shall be conducted only according to the following procedures:

a. The "Deposition Scheduling Committee" shall consist of Plaintiffs' counsel, and counsel for Defendants Citibank, Kutak Rock, Foley & Lardner and the Boulder Defendants, so long as these defendants remain in the case. If any Deposition Scheduling Committee member's client ceases to be in the case (whether due to settlement, dismissal, or otherwise), a

26

27

28

new Committee member may be appointed by a majority vote of all parties then remaining in the case. The Deposition Scheduling Committee shall agree in advance upon court reporting and deposition videotaping services. Use of the selected vendor for all depositions shall be mandatory except where that vendor is unable to provide a court reporter. Nothing in this Order shall require a party to videotape any deposition, but any party shall have the right to request that a deposition be videotaped, provided notice is given within three business days of receipt of the Notice of Deposition, or no less than fifteen days before the commencement of the deposition, whichever is later. If such a request is made, only the requesting party and each party ordering a copy shall be responsible for the cost of videotaping. The court-reporting service(s) shall record all conference calls with the Court b.

- that occur during any depositions as part of that day's deposition transcript. All depositions will have teleconference abilities so counsel may attend by phone. All depositions will also have real-time access, both locally and via the internet, which will be compatible with LiveNote, Summation and other realtime software.
- To the extent feasible, the parties shall use a uniform exhibit numbering system c. for depositions so that a particular document, once marked for identification, need not be marked again. The Deposition Scheduling Committee shall establish the exhibit numbering system and shall give notice of it to all parties. The numbering of exhibits shall conform to the requirements of Civil Local Rule 30-2, as well as the Court's prior Scheduling Order (Docket No.162).
- Except with the consent of the witness, all non-expert depositions shall be taken d. within seventy-five miles of the witness's business or residence. For non-party witnesses required to travel more than seventy-five miles to attend a deposition, the parties requesting time to depose the witness shall share the cost of the witness's expenses in proportion to the time granted by the Deposition Scheduling Committee to examine the witness. This provision shall not apply to requests for deposition covered by prior agreements, including settlement agreements specifying payment arrangements for cooperation in this action.

27

28

- e. The Deposition Scheduling Committee shall confer as necessary to develop a Master Deposition Schedule, which, absent a protective order issued by the Court, shall govern the sequence and scheduling of all depositions. Any party wishing to notice a deposition in this action must first confer with the Deposition Scheduling Committee to ensure that the requested deposition is scheduled for a date or dates consistent with the Master Deposition Schedule. The Deposition Scheduling Committee shall confer in good faith to schedule all depositions noticed by any party. Absent the unanimous consent of the members of the Deposition Scheduling Committee, all depositions must be placed on the Master Deposition Schedule at least thirty days before such depositions are to occur.
- f. The Master Deposition Schedule shall set forth each deponent's name, affiliation, location of and anticipated length of the deposition, the party who noticed or subpoenaed the deposition, the initial examiner (by party, not counsel) and whether it will be videotaped. A party may not reschedule a deposition except by mutual agreement or upon leave of Court for good cause shown.
- g. The Master Deposition Schedule shall be updated by an appointed representative of the Deposition Scheduling Committee, with all changes made as soon as practicable and distributed to all counsel in the above-referenced actions. The distribution of the Master Deposition Schedule shall occur at such time or times as to give parties reasonable notice of the depositions that have been scheduled. A party that has an objection to the Master Deposition Schedule must so inform the members of the Deposition Scheduling Committee within four business days from the time the Master Deposition Schedule is distributed, or the scheduling objection is waived. If an objection is made, the parties will work in good faith to re-schedule the deposition at a mutually convenient time. If a deposition that has been placed on the Master Deposition Schedule is cancelled, the party that requested/noticed that deposition shall promptly notify all parties of the cancellation. Unless leave of court is granted, no deposition shall be noticed for a date(s) other than those assigned in the Master Deposition Schedule. This provision shall apply to all depositions noticed in this case.

- h. A copy of all deposition notices issued and deposition subpoenas served on any witness shall be provided to each member of the Deposition Scheduling Committee.
- i. Except in cases of unforeseen emergency, any party seeking to cancel a deposition shall give all other parties seventy-two hours notice via e-mail.
- j. Expert witness depositions are not subject to this Protocol. The parties agree to try in good faith to stipulate to an order concerning expert witness depositions no later than thirty (30) days before such depositions are to commence.

II. Length of Depositions.

Due to the complexities of these coordinated actions, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2)(A) and 30(d)(2) shall be modified as follows:

- a. The ten-deposition limit contained in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30 shall not apply to this complex matter. Upon unanimous agreement of the members of the Deposition Scheduling Committee, the seven-hour day limits on depositions contained in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30 shall be waived for any deposition taken in this matter.
- b. To the extent reasonably possible, there shall be a maximum of two deposition days total for any fact witness (defined as eight hours of actual examination, excluding the entry of appearances at the beginning of the deposition and lunch or other breaks, per day).

 Depositions for which more than eight hours of question time has been requested will be set for two days.
- c. A party wishing time to examine a witness must give a good faith estimate of the time needed to the members of the Deposition Scheduling Committee no later than fifteen days before the deposition. The Deposition Committee and the parties shall work in good faith to allocate among them the examination time for each witness. To the extent that the parties cannot reach agreement on the allocation of examination time, any party may seek relief from the Court for good cause shown.

III. Conduct of Depositions.

- a. Full day depositions shall commence no earlier than 9:00 a.m. and shall conclude no later than 5:30 p.m. Half day depositions shall conclude no later than 5:30 p.m. Exceptions may be made with the unanimous consent of all counsel appearing at the deposition. Where necessary to avoid returning on a subsequent day, the parties and the deponent shall attempt to complete the deposition by extending its adjournment for up to one hour upon agreement.
- b. No depositions may be scheduled on the weekday of or the weekday before or after an in-person Court hearing in any of these consolidated actions (including the Adversaries), or on national or religious holidays. For purposes of this Protocol, such holidays are Rosh Hashanah (two days), Yom Kippur (two days), Columbus Day, Veterans' Day, Thanksgiving (Thursday and Friday), the two-week period beginning on the Monday before Christmas, Martin Luther King's Birthday, Presidents' Day, Good Friday, Easter Monday and Passover (two days), Memorial Day, Independence Day and Labor Day.
- c. The magnitude and complexity of this litigation require that all parties use every effort to adhere to the established rules of evidence and civil procedure. The objection of one counsel to a question need not be repeated by another counsel to preserve that objection on behalf of such other counsel. Any objection to the form of a question shall be deemed to have been made on behalf of all other parties and on all grounds for a form objection.
- d. Only one attorney representing a party (including each Defendant and the Class) may ask questions of a witness or object to questions during a deposition.

IV. Copies of Deposition Exhibits.

a. Each party shall bring copies (at least ten copies total) of any documents not previously marked as an exhibit for all counsel present at the deposition. Parties attending by phone must request to receive copies of the exhibits at least two days prior to the deposition. Such requests are to be accommodated to the extent reasonably practicable. If a party predesignates an exhibit, they shall bring three copies of the document to the deposition. The predesignated exhibits shall be emailed to all counsel in .pdf form three days before the

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

10

11

9

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 scheduled deposition. Once a document has been marked as an exhibit, each party shall be responsible for bringing a copy of that previously marked exhibit to the depositions.

Remote Access Protocol.

All counsel, both attending in person and by remote access, shall identify a. themselves and whom they represent for the record at the outset of each deposition. Those attending by phone may object and question the witnesses subject to the provisions of this Order. All depositions will have teleconference capabilities so that counsel can attend by phone.

VI. **Expert Discovery.**

- The purpose of this Section is to modify the provisions of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) with respect to required disclosures concerning testifying experts and to limit the scope of documents concerning such experts that will be subject to discovery in the above-captioned coordinated actions.
- With respect to any person or entity who a party will or may call as a witness at b. trial in any of the actions to present evidence under Rules 702, 703, or 705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence (an "Expert"), the party shall produce to all other parties in the applicable action the following documents: (i) a complete statement of all opinions to be expressed by the Expert and the basis and reasons therefore (the "Expert Report"); (ii) all data and other information on which the Expert relied in forming the opinions reflected in the Expert Report (to the extent such exhibits exist at the time the Expert Report is produced); (iii) any exhibits to be used as a summary of or support for the opinions reflected in the Expert Report (to the extent such exhibits exist at the time the Expert Report is produced); (iv) a complete statement of the qualifications of the Expert, including a list of all publications authored by the Expert within the preceding ten years; (v) a complete statement of the compensation paid or to be paid to the Expert by the party sponsoring that Expert in connection with the Expert's work in the applicable action; and (vi) a listing of any other cases in litigation, or any administrative proceeding, involving subject matter which is the same as, or similar to, the subject matter the Expert is addressing in this litigation, in which the Expert has served an Expert Report, or has

Case 3:09-cv-02079-JCS Document 422 Filed 11/30/10 Page 7 of 9

	Case 3:09-cv-02079-JCS Document 42	22 Filed 11/30/10 Page 8 of 9	
1	Dated: April 14, 2010	THE GORDON LAW FIRM LLP	
2		Duy /a/ Tadd D. Condon	
3		By: /s/ Todd B. Gordon STEPHEN F. GORDON	
4		TODD B.GORDON Attorneys for the Boulder Defendants and	
5		Defendant Roy S. MacDowell, Jr.	
6	Dated: April 14, 2010	O'MELVENY & MEYERS	
7		By: /s/ Allen Burton	
8		MEREDITH LANDY	
9		ALLEN BURTON BRADLEY J. BUTWIN	
10		GARY SVIRSKY Attorneys for Defendants Bank of America,	
11		FSB and Countrywide Bank, N.A.	
	7. 1. 4. 11.1. 2010	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
12	Dated: April 14, 2010	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLF	
13		By: /s/ Kevin Fee	
14		KEVIN FEE MARK BLOCKER	
15		Attorneys for Defendant Citibank, N.A.	
16			
17	Dated: April 14, 2010	FOLEY & LARDNER LLP	
18		By: /s/ Olya Petukhova	
		OLYA PETUKHOVA DOUGLAS SPELFOGEL	
19		Attorneys for Defendants Cordell Funding LLLP, Cordell Consultants,	
20		Funding LLLP, Cordell Consultants, New York, LLC, Cordell Consultants, Inc., Money Purchase Plan and Robin	
21		Rodriguez	
22	Dated: April 14, 2010	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER	
23	Dutou: 11p1ii 11, 2010		
24		By: <u>/s/ Brad Lingo</u> ETHAN DETTMER	
25		F. JOSEPH WARIN	
26		BRAD LINGO Attorneys for Defendants Kutak Rock and	
		Joseph O. Kavan	
27			
28			
	10		
	7316.001 STIPULATION RE: DEPOSITION PROTOCOL		

	Case 3:09-cv-02079-JCS Document 4	22 Filed 11/30/10 Page 9 of 9	
1	Dated: April 14, 2010	KRIEG, KELLER, SLOAN, REILLEY & ROMAN LLP	
2		REILLEY & ROWAN LLF	
3		By: /s/ Allison Cooper	
4		ALLISON COOPER JAMES KRIEG	
5		Attorneys for Defendants Foley & Lardner LLP and Stephen I. Burr	
6	Dated: April 14, 2010	LERCH STURMER LLP	
7		D // D # Dunas	
8		By: /s/ Brett Broge BRETT BROGE	
9		JERRY LERCH Attorneys for Defendant Silicon Valley	
10		Law Group	
11	Dated: April 14, 2010	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	
12			
13		By: /s/ William Goines WILLIAM GOINES	
14		Attorneys for Defendant United Western Bank (f/k/a Matrix Capital Bank)	
15	Dated: April 14, 2010	LEVINE KELLOGG LEHMAN	
16	Dated. April 14, 2010	SCHNEIDER & GROSSMAN LLP	
17		By: /s/ Lawrence Kellogg	
18		LAWRENCE KELLOGG Attorneys for Defendant Jorden Burt LLP	
19		Allorneys for Defendant Sorden Burt Elli	
20			
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	DATED:11/30/10		
23			
24	_	Patricia V. Trumball	
25		HON. JAMES WARE Patricia V. Trumbul United States District Judge	
26		Magistrate	
27			
28			
	11		
	7316.001 STIPULATION RE: DEPOSITION PROTOCOL 09-cv-2079 JW		